

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/040,050	MADDURY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	William S. Powers	2134

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) William S. Powers.

(3) Craig Holmes.

(2) Kambiz Zand.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 26 October 2006

Time: 3:00 PM Eastern Time

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

5 and 7

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Holmes was told that the application would be in condition for allowance if the limitations of claim 7 were incorporated into claim 5. Mr. Holmes agreed to this stipulation and authorized an Examiner's Amendment to the claims to reflect this.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


KAMBIZ ZAND
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)